Luminescence Properties of d⁰ Metal-Imido Compounds

Kurt S. Heinselman and Michael D. Hopkins*

Department of Chemistry, University of Pittsburgh Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15260

Received June 7, 1995

Complexes that luminesce in fluid solution from ligand-tometal charge-transfer (LMCT) excited states are scarce, in marked contrast to the ubiquitous metal-to-ligand charge-transfer chromophores whose emission properties have been the dominant subjects of study in inorganic photochemistry over the past two decades.¹ LMCT states are formally characterized by a hole in the electron shell at the ligand; complexes for which such states are long-lived in solution are therefore of interest as potential photochemical oxidants. Unfortunately, the systematic development of emissive LMCT chromophores is hindered by the fact that the few such complexes reported to luminesce in fluid solution— d^5 -configured ReCp*₂ (Cp* = C₅- Me_5 ² and $[Re(PMe_2CH_2CH_2PMe_2)_3]^{2+3}$ and d⁰-configured $ScCp*_2X (X = Cl, NHPh),^4 [Ti(NR'){O_2P(OR)_2}_2]_2 (R = CMe_3,$ SiMe₃; $\mathbf{R}' = \mathbf{CMe}_3$, $\mathbf{CMe}_2\mathbf{E}t$),⁵ and $\mathbf{TaCp}^*\mathbf{X}_4$ and $\mathbf{TaCp}^*\mathbf{Cl}_3\mathbf{X}'$ $(X = Cl, Br; X' = O_2CR, O_3SMe)^6$ -constitute too electronically disparate a class of compounds for general design principles to have been deduced from them. Herein we report the spectroscopic and photophysical properties of group V d⁰-configured aryl-imido compounds of the type $cis,mer-M(\equiv NAr^*)X_3L_2$,^{7,8} which luminesce in fluid solution at room temperature, and comment on the potential generality of the emissive LMCT state they possess.

	\frown	м	х	L_2	
	nd And	Ta	CI	dme	
	Pr T Pr	Ta	Cì	(py) ₂	2
z	N	Та	Cì	(thf) ₂	3
1	v v	Та	Cì	tmeda	4
rv	C-M-	Та	Br	dme	5
~ ,	X* *L	Та	Br	tmeda	6
	Ĺ	Nb	Cl	dme	7

The electronic-absorption spectra of niobium and tantalum $M(NAr^*)X_3L_2$ compounds in toluene solution at room temperature exhibit a weak band ($\epsilon \simeq 10^2 \,\mathrm{M^{-1} \, cm^{-1}}$) with a maximum in the range 19 000-22 500 cm^{-1} (band I; Table 1) and a strong band ($\epsilon \simeq 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$) in the range 31 000-34 000 cm⁻¹ (band II; Table 1) as the two lowest-energy features. Figure 1 shows the absorption spectrum of 1, which is representative of those of the $M(NAr^*)X_3L_2$ class. Emission from $M(NAr^*)$ -X₃L₂ compounds upon excitation into band I or II is observed

114, 6905-6906.

(7) Chao, Y.-W.; Wexler, P. A.; Wigley, D. E. Inorg. Chem. 1989, 28, 3860-3868.

(8) Complexes 4-7 were prepared by procedures analogous to those proted previously for 1-3 (ref 7). Elemental analyses (C, H, N) and ¹H-NMR spectra consistent with the formulations of 4-7 were obtained; these data are available as supporting information. Ligand abbreviations: Ar* = 2,6-diisopropylphenyl; dme = 1,2-dimethoxyethane; py = pyridine; thf = tetrahydrofuran; tmeda = N,N,N',N'-tetramethylethylenediamine.

Table 1. Electronic Spectroscopic and Photophysical Data for M(NAr*)X₃L₂ Compounds at Room Temperature^a

			emission					
	$abso \overline{\nu}_{max}, cm^{-i}$	Īmar.	Tem.	Øem	$k_{\rm r}, 10^4$	$\frac{k_{\rm nr}}{10^7}$		
compd	band I	band II	cm ⁻ⁱ	ns ^b	$(\times 10^3)^c$	s ⁻¹	s-1	
1	22 450 (130)	33 800 (10 200)	15 550	135(3)	5.0(2)	3.7	0.7	
2	21 100 (110)	33 900 (8 100)	14 400	51(2)	1.3(1)	2.5	2.0	
3	22 400 (145)	33 500 (9 200)	14 650	74(5)	1.5(3)	2.0	1.3	
4	21 175 (100)	33 350 (10 500)	14 650	71(1)	2.1(3)	3.0	1.4	
5	20 750 (115)	33 700 (14 900)	14 800	57(3)	2.0(3)	3.5	1.8	
6	19 275 (95)	32 300 (13 500)	13 550	<40	$0.7(1)^d$			
7	19 450 (40)	31 350 (9 100)	~13 000	46(3)	$0.7(1)^d$	1.5	2.2	

^a Electronic-absorption data are for toluene solutions; emission data are for benzene solutions. ^b Excitation provided by Raman-shifted (H₂) pulsed Nd:YAG laser ($\lambda_{ex} = 436$ nm, 7-ns pulse width, power < 0.5 mJ/pulse). Cited values are averages of a minimum of seven independent measurements; standard deviations are given in parentheses. ^c Relative to [Ru(bpy)₃]Cl₂ in water (Caspar, J. V.; Meyer, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 5583-5590) and corrected for solvent refractive index (Crosby, G. A.; Demas, J. N. J. Phys. Chem. 1971, 75, 991-1024). Cited values are averages of a minimum of five independent measurements; standard deviations are given in parentheses. ^d The complete emission band could not be recorded due to the poor red response of our spectrofluorimeter. For 1-5, for which full emission bands could be measured, the total area under the band was found to be 2.04-2.17 times the area between the blue-edge onset of the band and $\bar{\nu}_{max}$. The area under the entire emission band for 6 and 7 was estimated to be 2.12 times this area.



Figure 1. Electronic-absorption and corrected emission spectra of Ta-(NAr*)Cl₃(dme) (1) in toluene and benzene solution, respectively, at room temperature.

in both the solid state and fluid solution at room temperature (Figure 1).⁹ The overlap and mirror symmetry between the emission band and band I and the similar dependence of their energies upon the nature of ligands X and L (Table 1) suggest that the transition that gives rise to the latter directly produces the emissive state, although the possible presence of one or more weak, unresolved absorption bands at lower energy cannot be excluded. The room-temperature emission quantum yields (ϕ_{em} $= 0.7 - 5.0 \times 10^{-3}$) and lifetimes ($\tau_{em} = 46 - 135$ ns) indicate that the radiative rates for 1-7 are on the order of 10^4 s^{-1} (Table 1), suggesting that the emission is spin-forbidden. Consistent with this, the emission of 1 ($E_{0-0} \ge 18000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, Figure 1) is efficiently quenched by anthracene ($E_{\rm T} = 14\ 870\ {\rm cm}^{-1}$;¹⁰ $k_{\rm q} =$ $2.1 \times 10^{9} \,\mathrm{M}^{-1} \,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$).

We believe that the emission of these $M(NAr^*)X_3L_2$ complexes arises from the triplet state produced by a $[\pi(M \equiv NAr^*)]$

(10) Murov, S. L.; Carmichael, I.; Hug, G. L. Handbook of Photochemistry, 2nd ed.; Marcel Dekker: New York, 1993; pp 54-98.

^{(1) (}a) Roundhill, D. M. Photochemistry and Photophysics of Metal Complexes; Plenum Press: New York, 1994. (b) Kalyanasundaram, K. Photochemistry of Polypyridine and Porphyrin Complexes; Academic Press: San Diego, 1992.

⁽²⁾ Bandy, J. A.; Cloke, F. G. N.; Cooper, G.; Day, J. P.; Girling, R. B.; Graham, R. G.; Green, J. C.; Grinter, R.; Perutz, R. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 5039-5050

⁽³⁾ Lee, Y. F.; Kirchhoff, J. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 3599-3600.

^{(4) (}a) Pfennig, B. W.; Thompson, M. E.; Bocarsly, A. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 8947-8948. (b) Pfennig, B. W.; Thompson, M. E.; Bocarsly, A. B. Organometallics 1993, 12, 649-655. (c) Vlcek, A., Jr. Chemtracts: Inorg. Chem. 1993, 5, 141-144.
(5) Thorn, D. L.; Harlow, R. L. Inorg. Chem. 1992, 31, 3917-3923.
(6) Paulson, S.; Sullivan, B. P.; Caspar, J. V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114 605-6006.

⁽⁹⁾ The emission-excitation spectra of 1-7 exactly match the absorption profiles of band I and the red flank of band II. The high extinction coefficient of band II ($\epsilon \simeq 10^4$) and the low emission quantum yields (ϕ_{em} $\approx 10^{-3}$) of these compounds prevented us from measuring full excitation profiles for band II at the low optical densities (OD ≤ 0.1) required for this experiment.

 \rightarrow d_{xy}] orbital transition, based on simple molecular-orbital considerations and on the trends in the emission band energy among these complexes. The LUMO of d⁰-configured axially compressed complexes such as these is the metal-centered d_{xy} level, which is $\pi^*(M-X)$ in character and nonbonding with respect to the imido ligand, whereas the HOMO is ligand based, with the possible candidates being $\sigma(M-L)$, $\pi(X)$, or π -(M=NAr*) orbitals. An emission band arising from a transition terminating in $\sigma(M-L)$ or $\pi(X)$ orbitals would be expected to shift in energy among these compounds approximately according to the ionization energies of L and X, respectively, given the metal-localized character of the LUMO. This is not observed for compounds 1-7. Specifically, the differences between the emission energies of the dme and tmeda derivatives of Ta-(NAr*)Cl₃L₂ (1 and 4, $\Delta \bar{\nu}_{max} = 900 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) and of Ta(NAr*)-Br₃L₂ (5 and 6, $\Delta \bar{\nu}_{max} = 1250 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) are roughly 1 order of magnitude smaller than the difference between the ionization energies of dme and tmeda (11 600 cm⁻¹).¹¹ Similarly, the red shift in the emission band that arises from substitution of bromide for chloride in the pairs of Ta(NAr*)X₃(dme) derivatives (1 and 5, $\Delta \bar{\nu}_{max} = 750 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) and of Ta(NAr*)X₃(tmeda) derivatives (4 and 6, $\Delta \bar{\nu}_{max} = 1100 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) is substantially smaller than the difference between the ionization energies of chlorine and bromine (9300 cm⁻¹).¹² The modest sensitivity of the emission band energy to the nature of L and X suggests that these ligands contribute relatively little to the parentage of the HOMO. This implies that the HOMO is $\pi(M \equiv NAr^*)$ and, thus, that the transition giving rise to the emission band is $[\pi$ - $(M \equiv NAr^*) \leftarrow d_{xy}$]. As expected for an LMCT state, the emission band of Nb(NAr*)Cl₃(dme) is red shifted from that of $Ta(NAr^*)Cl_3(dme)$ (2550 cm⁻¹).

Support for the assignment of the emission of $M(NAr^*)X_3L_2$ compounds as arising from the $[\pi(M \equiv NAr^*) \leftarrow d_{xy}]$ transition is provided by the vibronic structure observed in the emission bands at 13 K. A high-frequency ($\nu > 1000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) progression is resolved for all compounds, though most clearly for **6** (Figure 2). The vibrational spectra of aryl-imido metal complexes typically exhibit bands in the 900-1350-cm⁻¹ region attributable to nominal " $\nu(M \equiv N)$ " modes, which contain contributions from the M \equiv N and from N-R-derived coordinates.^{13,14} The observation of such a progression in the emission spectrum is a manifestation of a significant bond-distance change along [M \equiv NAr*] coordinates in the emissive state, which arises



Figure 2. Corrected emission spectrum of microcrystalline $Ta(NAr^*)$ -Br₃(tmeda) (6) at 13 K. Vibronic spacings are given in cm⁻¹.

because the formal M=N bond order is reduced from 3 to $2^{1/2}$ as a result of the $[\pi(M=NAr^*) \rightarrow d_{xy}]$ orbital transition.

In closing, we note an analogy between the nature of the emissive state of the d⁰-configured M(\equiv NAr*)X₃L₂ complexes described herein and those of d^2 -configured trans-[M(=O)₂L_n] and $[M(\equiv E)L_m]$ (E = O, N, CR) complexes that may be of importance in formulating initial bonding/luminescence relationships for LMCT chromophores. The d² complexes luminesce from $[d_{xy} \rightarrow \pi^*(O=M=O)]$ and $[d_{xy} \rightarrow \pi^*(M=E)]$ states,¹⁵ respectively, which, although they are d-d in character as opposed to the $[\pi(M \equiv NAr^*) \rightarrow d_{xy}]$ LMCT excited state described here, have in common with the latter an electronic transition that involves the metal-centered d_{rv} orbital and a π -symmetry orbital associated with the metal-ligand multiple bond, such that the order of the multiple bond is reduced by 1/2in the excited state. Other d⁰ analogues of emissive, d²configured, multiply metal-ligand bonded complexes should share these characteristics and are thus logical candidates for expanding the small class of emissive LMCT chromophores.

Acknowledgment. We thank Fred Hooper and Cecelia Philbin for conducting preliminary experiments on these compounds. This research was supported by the National Science Foundation through Grant CHE 93-07013. Fellowships to M.D.H. from the David and Lucile Packard Foundation and the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation are gratefully acknowledged.

Supporting Information Available: 'H-NMR spectroscopic and elemental analysis data for 4-7 (1 page). This material is contained in many libraries on microfiche, immediately follows this article in the microfilm version of the journal, can be ordered from the ACS, and can be downloaded from the Internet; see any current masthead page for ordering information and Internet access instructions.

JA951842E

^{(11) (}a) Kimura, K.; Katsumata, S.; Achiba, Y.; Yamazaki, T.; Iwata, S. Handbook of Hel Photoelectron Spectra of Fundamental Organic Molecules; Halsted Press: New York, 1981; p 131. (b) Halpern, A. M.; Chan, P. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1975**, 97, 2971–2976. (c) Werstiuk, N. H. Can. J. Chem. **1986**, 64, 2175–2183.

⁽¹²⁾ Lide, D. R., Ed. CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 75th ed.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, 1994; p 10-205.

^{(13) (}a) Wigley, D. E. Prog. Inorg. Chem. **1994**, 42, 239-482. (b) Nugent, W. A.; Mayer, J. M. Metal-Ligand Multiple Bonds; Wiley: New York, 1988; pp 123-125.

⁽¹⁴⁾ Although normal-coordinate calculations have not been reported for $M(\equiv NAr)L_n$ complexes, calculations for the related (in a vibrational sense) $M(\equiv CPh)L_n$ complexes, which exhibit nominal " $\nu(M\equiv C)$ " bands in the 1300-cm⁻¹ region, indicate that the contribution of the $M\equiv C$ stretching

coordinate to the mode responsible for this band is less than 50% (Dao, N. Q.; Fevrier, H.; Jouan, M.; Fischer, E. O. *Nouv. J. Chim.* **1983**, 7, 719–724. Foulet-Fonseca, G. P.; Jouan, M.; Dao, N. Q.; Fischer, H.; Schmid, J.; Fischer, E. O. *Spectrochim. Acta* **1990**, *46A*, 339–354).

⁽¹⁵⁾ Miskowski, V. M.; Gray, H. B.; Hopkins, M. D. Adv. Trans. Met. Chem., accepted for publication.